CAROLINE HENDERSON

Born into relative prosperity on an Iowa farm, Caroline Henderson (1877–1966) graduated from Mt. Holyoke College in 1901. She seemed set for some kind of conventionally secure life, until she nearly died of diphtheria in 1907. When she recovered, she was determined to pursue her girlhood dream of farming on the frontier, and so she established a quarter-section on the High Plains near Eva, Oklahoma. She met her husband, an itinerant named Will, when he came as part of a crew to dig her well. They settled down to grow wheat on land that was arid even before the Dust Bowl, and where the forces of modernizing agriculture were already starting to drive crop prices down and drive many of their neighbors off the land. To supplement their income in the years before World War I, Henderson wrote a popular series of columns as the “Homestead Lady” for Ladies World, and then in the 1930s a series of letters for the Atlantic that, though stoic, described the essential horror of that most epic of American environmental crises, the Dust Bowl—not just outside, where crops were buried beneath the sand, but even inside, at the center of the home, where the grit of the dust sapped the grit of the homesteaders. The Hendersons managed to keep their farm until their retirement, but it was always a bitter struggle, and Henderson wrote very little except letters to friends in the decades after these pieces.

Letter from the Dust Bowl

EVA, OKLAHOMA
June 30, 1935

MY DEAR EVELYN:—

Your continued interest in our effort to ‘tie a knot in the end of the rope and hang on’ is most stimulating. Our recent transition from rain-soaked eastern Kansas with its green pasture, luxuriant foliage,
abundance of flowers, and promise of a generous harvest, to the dust-covered desolation of No Man’s Land was a difficult change to crowd into one short day’s travel. Eleanor has laid aside the medical books for a time. Wearing our shade hats, with handkerchiefs tied over our faces and vaseline in our nostrils, we have been trying to rescue our home from the accumulations of wind-blown dust which penetrates wherever air can go. It is an almost hopeless task, for there is rarely a day when at some time the dust clouds do not roll over. ‘Visibility’ approaches zero and everything is covered again with a silt-like deposit which may vary in depth from a film to actual ripples on the kitchen floor. I keep oiled cloths on the window sills and between the upper and lower sashes. They help just a little to retard or collect the dust. Some seal the windows with the gummed-paper strips used in wrapping parcels, but no method is fully effective. We buy what appears to be red cedar sawdust with oil added to use in sweeping our floors, and do our best to avoid inhaling the irritating dust.

In telling you of these conditions I realize that I expose myself to charges of disloyalty to this western region. A good Kansas friend suggests that we should imitate the Californian attitude toward earthquakes and keep to ourselves what we know about dust storms. Since the very limited rains of May in this section gave some slight ground for renewed hope, optimism has been the approved policy. Printed articles or statements by journalists, railroad officials, and secretaries of small-town Chambers of Commerce have heralded too enthusiastically the return of prosperity to the drought region. And in our part of the country that is the one durable basis for any prosperity whatever. There is nothing else to build upon. But you wished to know the truth, so I am telling you the actual situation, though I freely admit that the facts are themselves often contradictory and confusing.

Early in May, with no more grass or even weeds on our 640 acres than on your kitchen floor, and even the scanty remnants of dried grasses from last year cut off and blown away, we decided, like most of our neighbors, to ship our cattle to grass in the central part of the state. We sent 27 head, retaining here the heifers coming fresh this spring. The shipping charge on our part of the carload was $46. Pasture costs
us $7.00 for a cow and calf for the season and $5.00 for a yearling. Whether this venture brings profit or loss depends on whether the cattle make satisfactory gains during the summer and whether prices remain reasonable or fall back to the level that most people would desire. We farmers here in the United States might as well recognize that we are a minority group, and that the prevailing interest of the nation as a whole is no longer agricultural. Hay for the horses and the heifers remaining here cost us $23 per ton, brought by truck from eastern Oklahoma.

The day after we shipped the cattle, the long drouth was temporarily broken by the first effective moisture in many months—about one and one-quarter inches in two or three gentle rains. All hope of a wheat crop had been abandoned by March or April.

Contrary to many published reports, a good many people had left this country either temporarily or permanently before any rains came. And they were not merely ‘drifters,’ as is frequently alleged. In May a friend in the southwestern county of Kansas voluntarily sent me a list of the people who had already left their immediate neighborhood or were packed up and ready to go. The list included 109 persons in 26 families, substantial people, most of whom had been in that locality over ten years, and some as long as forty years. In these families there had been two deaths from dust pneumonia. Others in the neighborhood were ill at that time. Fewer actual residents have left our neighborhood, but on a sixty-mile trip yesterday to procure tractor repairs we saw many pitiful reminders of broken hopes and apparently wasted effort. Little abandoned homes where people had drilled deep wells for the precious water, had set trees and vines, built reservoirs, and fenced in gardens,—with everything now walled in or half buried by banks of drifted soil,—told a painful story of loss and disappointment. I grieved especially over one lonely plum thicket buried to the tips of the twigs, and a garden with a fence closely built of boards for wind protection, now enclosing only a hillock of dust covered with the blue-flowered bull nettles which no winds or sands discourage.

It might give you some notion of our great ‘open spaces’ if I tell you that on the sixty-mile trip, going by a state road over which our mail
comes from the railroad, and coming back by a Federal highway, we en-
countered only one car, and no other vehicles of any sort. And this was
on Saturday, the farmers’ marketing day!

The coming of the long-desired rain gave impetus to the Federal
projects for erosion control. Plans were quickly made, submitted to
groups of farmers in district gatherings, and put into operation without
delay.

The proposition was that, in order to encourage the immediate listing
of abandoned wheat ground and other acreage so as to cut down wind
erosion, the Federal Government would contribute ten cents per acre
toward the expense of fuel and oil for tractors or feed for horses, if the
farmers would agree to list not less than one fourth of the acreage on
contour lines. Surveys were made promptly for all farmers signing con-
tracts for either contour listing or terracing. The latest report states
that within the few weeks since the programme was begun in our county
299,986 acres have been ploughed or listed on these contour lines—that
is, according to the lay of the land instead of on straight lines with
right-angled turns as has been the usual custom.

The plan has been proposed and carried through here as a matter
of public policy for the welfare of all without reproach or humiliation
to anyone. It should be remembered that 1935 is the fourth successive
year of drouth and crop failure through a great part of the high plains
region, and the hopelessly low prices for the crop of 1931 gave no
chance to build up reserves for future needs. If the severe critics of all
who in any way join in government plans for the saving of homes and
the restoration of farms to a productive basis could only understand
how vital a human problem is here considered, possibly their censures
might be less bitter and scornful.

At any rate the contour listing has been done over extensive areas.
If rains come to carry forward the feed crops now just struggling up in
the furrows, the value of the work can be appraised. The primary in-
tention of the plan for contour listing is to distribute rainfall evenly
over the fields and prevent its running off to one end of the field or
down the road to some creek or drainage basin. It is hoped that the plan
will indirectly tend to lessen wind erosion by promoting the growth of
feed crops, restoration of humus to denuded surfaces, and some protection through standing stubbles and the natural coverage of weeds and unavoidable wastes. One great contributing cause of the terrible dust storms of the last two years has been the pitiful bareness of the fields resulting from the long drouth.

I am not wise enough to forecast the result. We have had two most welcome rains in June—three quarters of an inch and one-half inch. Normally these should have been of the utmost benefit, though they by no means guarantee an abundant feed crop from our now sprouting seeds as many editorial writers have decreed, and they do nothing toward restoring subsoil moisture. Actually the helpful effects of the rains have been for us and for other people largely destroyed by the drifting soil from abandoned, unworked lands around us. It fills the air and our eyes and noses and throats, and, worst of all, our furrows, where tender shoots are coming to the surface only to be buried by the smothering silt from the fields of rugged individualists who persist in their right to do nothing.

A fairly promising piece of barley has been destroyed for us by the merciless drift from the same field whose sands have practically buried the little mulberry hedge which has long sheltered our buildings from the northwest winds. Large spaces in our pastures are entirely bare in spite of the rains. Most of the green color, where there is any grazing, is due to the pestilent Russian thistles rather than to grass. Our little locust grove which we cherished for so many years has become a small pile of fence posts. With trees and vines and flowers all around you, you can’t imagine how I miss that little green shaded spot in the midst of the desert glare.

Naturally you will wonder why we stay where conditions are so extremely disheartening. Why not pick up and leave as so many others have done? It is a fair question, but a hard one to answer.

Recently I talked with a young university graduate of very superior attainments. He took the ground that in such a case sentiment could and should be disregarded. He may be right. Yet I cannot act or feel or think as if the experiences of our twenty-seven years of life together had never been. And they are all bound up with the little corner to which
we have given our continued and united efforts. To leave voluntarily—to break all these closely knit ties for the sake of a possibly greater comfort elsewhere—seems like defaulting on our task. We may have to leave. We can’t hold out indefinitely without some return from the land, some source of income, however small. But I think I can never go willingly or without pain that as yet seems unendurable.

There are also practical considerations that serve to hold us here, for the present. Our soil is excellent. We need only a little rain—less than in most places—to make it productive. No one who remembers the wheat crops of 1926, 1929, 1931, can possibly regard this as permanently submarginal land. The newer methods of farming suggest possibilities of better control of moisture in the future. Our entire equipment is adapted to the type of farming suitable for this country and would have to be replaced at great expense with the tools needed in some other locality. We have spent so much in trying to keep our land from blowing away that it looks foolish to walk off and leave it, when somewhat more favorable conditions seem now to ‘cast their shadows before.’ I scarcely need to tell you that there is no use in thinking of either renting or selling farm property here at present. It is just a place to stand on—if we can keep the taxes paid—and work and hope for a better day. We could realize nothing whatever from all our years of struggle with which to make a fresh start.

We long for the garden and little chickens, the trees and birds and wild flowers of the years gone by. Perhaps if we do our part these good things may return some day, for others if not for ourselves.

Will joins me in earnest hopes for your recovery. The dust has been particularly aggravating to his bronchial trouble, but he keeps working on. A great reddish-brown dust cloud is rising now from the southeast, so we must get out and do our night work before it arrives. Our thoughts go with you.

*The Atlantic*, May 1936